

# **Bonze Anne Rose Blayk**

June 25 at 10:24pm · Twitter · ♣ ▼

When it comes to establishing rules and regulations, everyone, high and low, should be treated alike. - Du Mu (commentary on The Art of War)

Unlike · Comment · Share · @HonestAbeLinkin on Twitter

You, Shelia Powers and Cary Lenehan like this.



#### **Bonze Anne Rose Blayk -**

"It's total moral surrender" - Matt Taibbi

http://www.salon.com/2014/05/19/it's\_total\_moral\_surrender\_matt\_t aibbi\_unloads\_on\_wall\_street\_inequality\_and\_our\_broken\_justice\_sys tem/

... it's a WAR.



Stories about 2014 www.salon.com Stories about 2014

June 25 at 10:39pm · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



# Billy Beck GULAG.

That'll do it.

June 25 at 11:56pm · Like



Shelia Powers I've never seen such disparity in income & in justice that we have now. I don't blame Obama for making it this way because it didn't happen overnight. This stuff has been getting worse for years. It seems like there is not even any attempt to hide this or make it seem otherwise when I see wealthy people committing atrocious crimes like sexually assaulting small children without any real punishment or even attempt to protect the public from these sort of people. I'm sure I need not even mention the white collar crimes committed by the wealthy that go unpunished.

June 26 at 12:03am · Unlike · 🖒 1



### Bonze Anne Rose Blavk -

So Stalin was "first among equals?"

That comment makes no sense to me at all, Billy.

June 26 at 12:23am · Like



Billy Beck Close enough for government work.

Complaints about inequality make all the sense in the world, Bonze. I understand them at the very instant that I see them.

June 26 at 12:26am · Like



### Bonze Anne Rose Blayk -

Having suffered immensely myself from inequality before the law, I'm agin' it.

My testimony under oath was shit, while every jackacid fantasy the psychiatric "experts" proclaimed was golden - because they were exempt from the rule against entering hearsay into evidence.

I intend to do my best to crucify them with it, because it just so happens they bought into a pack of lies, and made up some more on their own... while I held to the truth, as best I knew it.

Please keep in mind that Andrei Amalrik is one of my idols.

https://www.facebook.com/photo.php? fbid=10151158089432954&set=a.429140027953.232215.707147953 &type=3&theater



This physician's opinion was derived not from her interview with me... but from a report by another psychiatrist prepared in 1998?

THAT GUY - Dr. Kennedy, then of the Rochester Regional Forensic Unit - claimed that I was lying when I told him, as I told everyone else, the truth: "At the time of the arson I was hearing voices that seemed to come over the radio as I was listening to it... they were mostly kind of vague; there were a couple of clear instructions. Yes, I was suffering from a delusional psychosis at that time, and feared that enormous destruction was going to be unleashed by a bioterrorist attack using anthrax if I did not do as I did, believing that I was being used as a pawn in an FBI scheme to undermine this threat, posed by the person on whom the character of Dr. Hannibal Lecter was based."

BUT - he decided that I was LYING.

Which was good, I guess, in a way, because it meant that as an insanity acquittee they had no grounds to retain me past my in-hospital examination of two months?

But it's bad, because he decided that "he burned down his girlfriend's trailer because he was angry."

AND SO I get tagged as "mad AND bad". Gee, thanks a lot: I tell the truth, when I knew damned well that my insanity acquittal was a done deal, and admitting I was psychotic at the time of the offense only exposed me to institutionalization...

"264. 'What we Do.' — What we do is never understood, but only praised and blamed." The Joyful Wisdom - Friederich Nietzsche. Images and text related to my character, my relationship with Susan Hamann, catastrophic Adverse Drug Reaction in January 1997 leading to insanity and arson, and subsequent "treatment" by the New York State Office of Mental Health. ... the people to whom I refer in this album appear in the first pictures in my album on Relationships. — bonze anne blayk

http://www.facebook.com/media/set/fbx/? set=a.10150092218962954.281545.707147953 -4/30/11

By: Bonze Anne Rose Blayk

June 26 at 12:42am · Like · Remove Preview



**Billy Beck** "Having suffered immensely myself from inequality before the law, I'm agin' it."

I think that's cute.

Me? I'm against the law.



# Bonze Anne Rose Blayk -

Human suffering is never cute, Billy Beck.

I think being opposed to the standards enunciated in English Common Law - the law of the people, not the State - is kind of foolish.

How many years did you spend in the Gulag? I got 4 1/2.

June 26 at 1:06am · Like



# Bonze Anne Rose Blayk -

And just like the Soviet dissdents, coerced into accepted dosing with "antipsychotics," neuroleptic drugs that induced Parkinsonianism.

No fun at all, let me tell you, not one bit of fun.

June 26 at 1:08am · Like



# Bonze Anne Rose Blayk -

You're also missing a point here: that tweet was directed -to-someone: a psychiatrist, right?

Let me know when YOUR ass is on the line, and I'll do my best to be supportive. Meanwhile, you rather obviously DID NOT understand why I linked that article.

"The great aim of the struggle for liberty has been equality before the law." - Friedrich Hayek

https://www.google.com/search?client=safari&rls=en...



hayek "equality before the law" - Google Search www.google.com

June 26 at 1:14am · Edited · Like · Remove Preview



Billy Beck "Human suffering is never cute..."

C'mon. You are certainly smart enough to understand that that -- "human suffering" -- was not the referent. Read it carefully.

Please don't hand me that Hayek. I told you. Try to understand:  ${}^*\mathrm{I}$  am against the law ${}^*.$ 

June 26 at 1:17am · Edited · Like



## **Bonze Anne Rose Blayk -**

Equality, Value, and Merit - by Friedrich A Hayek

- "I have no respect for the passion for equality, which seems to me merely idealizing envy."

  Oliver Wendell Holmes, Jr.
- 1. The great aim of the struggle for liberty has been equality before the law. This equality under the rules which the state enforces may be supplemented by a similar equality of the rules that men voluntarily obey in their relations with one another. This extention of the principle of equality to the rules of moral and social conduct is the chief expression of what is commonly called the democratic spirit--and probably that aspect of it that does most to make inoffensive the inequalities that liberty necessarily produces.

Equality of the general rules of law and conduct, however, is the only kind of equality conducive to liberty and the only equality which we can secure without destroying liberty. Not only has liberty nothing to do with any other sort of equality, but it is even bound to produce inequality in many respects. This is the necessary result and part of the justification of individual liberty: if the result of individual liberty did not demonstrate that some manners of living are more successful than others, much of the case for it would vanish.

It is neither because it assumes that people are in fact equal nor because it attempts to make them equal that the argument for liberty demands that government treat them equally. This argument not only recognizes that individuals are very different but in a great measure rests on that assumption. It insists that these individual differences provide no justification for government to treat them differently. And it objects to the differences in treatment by the state that would be necessary if persons who are in fact very different were to be assured equal positions in life.

Modern advocates of a more far-reaching material equality usually deny that their demands are based on any assumption of the factual equality of all men.' It is nevertheless still widely believed that this is the main justification for such demands. Nothing, however, is more damaging to the demand for equal treatment than to base it on so obviously untrue an assumption as that of the factual equality of all men. To rest the case for equal treatment of national or racial minorities on the assertion that they do not differ from other men is implicitly to admit that factual inequality would justify unequal treatment; and the proof that some differences do, in fact, exist would not be long in forthcoming. It is of the essence of the demand for equality before the law that people should be treated alike in spite of the fact that they are different.

http://www.woldww.net/.../General.../Hayek-equality.htm

# **Equality, Value, and Merit by Friedrich A Hayek** www.woldww.net

1. The great aim of the struggle for liberty has been equality before the law. This equality under the rules which the state enforces may be supplemented by a similar equality of the rules that men voluntarily obey in their relations with one another. This extention of the principle of equality to...

June 26 at 1:21am  $\cdot$  Like  $\cdot$  Remove Preview



### Bonze Anne Rose Blayk -

Billy, you are not my fucking professor, and I am not here to be "scored" by you. If you intended something different, like, "bonze's suffering is cute," explain it: "cute" is an odd word to use there, no?

"Smart" goes to the wall when people are pissed off, and I am one unholy pissed off person... and for very good reason. I didn't ask for this fucking war, and I would appreciate it if you would back off on assuming you know what I'm doing, because you obviously do not. June 26 at 1:28am · Like



# Bonze Anne Rose Blayk -

cute |kyoot| adjective

- 1 attractive in a pretty or endearing way: a cute kitten.
- informal sexually attractive.
- $2\ \mbox{informal}$  clever or cunning, esp. in a self-seeking or superficial way: I don't want to be cute with you.

ORIGIN early 18th cent. (in the sense 'clever, shrewd'): shortening of acute.

Sense 1) obviously holds, but that's also obviously not what you meant.  $\label{eq:sense}$ 

Sense 2) is unflattering.

June 26 at 1:30am · Like



Write a comment...